



# Q1 Which governance entity are you evaluating today using this survey?

Answered: 152 Skipped: 0



# Councils and Committees Survey of Effectiveness




## Councils and Committees Survey of Effectiveness


ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Academic Council	5.26%	8
Academic Planning Chairs	0.66%	1
Academic Senate	5.92%	9
Assessment Committee	8.55%	13
College Council	2.63%	4
Curriculum Committee	4.61%	7
Course Materials Affordability Committee	0.00%	0
Distance Education Committee	9.87%	15
Diversity, Equity & Inclusion Committee	7.24%	11
Faculty Professional Development Committee	3.95%	6
Guided Pathways and Equity Leadership Advisory Workgroup	0.00%	0
Grants Advisory Panel	0.00%	0
Institutional Effectiveness & Governance Council	6.58%	10
LGBTQ+ Advocates Committee	3.29%	5
Library and Learning Resource Center Advisory Committee	7.89%	12
Marketing Committee	2.63%	4
Program Review Committee	5.26%	8
Racial Justice Taskforce	0.00%	0
Resources Council	5.26%	8
Student Materials Affordability Committee	0.00%	0
Student Support Council	7.24%	11
Teaching & Learning Committee (TLC)	8.55%	13
Technology Committee	4.61%	7
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>152</b>

## Q2 Please rate your level of agreement with the following statements for the governance entity selected above:


Answered: 152 Skipped: 0




# Councils and Committees Survey of Effectiveness



# Councils and Committees Survey of Effectiveness



## Councils and Committees Survey of Effectiveness



	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	DOES NOT APPLY	TOTAL
Agenda and minutes are provided far enough in advance of meetings	76.32% 116	20.39% 31	2.63% 4	0.66% 1	0.00% 0	152
Agenda items are completed within the meeting time	63.58% 96	29.80% 45	5.30% 8	1.32% 2	0.00% 0	151
Members are given adequate information to make informed recommendations or decisions	65.13% 99	25.00% 38	7.89% 12	1.97% 3	0.00% 0	152
All members are encouraged to be actively involved	69.08% 105	25.66% 39	2.63% 4	2.63% 4	0.00% 0	152
Discussions are collegial	76.16% 115	21.19% 32	2.65% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	151
Differing opinions are respected	73.68% 112	23.68% 36	1.97% 3	0.66% 1	0.00% 0	152
Participation is meaningful and important to me	69.08% 105	24.34% 37	3.29% 5	3.29% 5	0.00% 0	152
I regularly communicate with members of the constituent group I represent regarding key issues discussed and actions taken during meetings	50.33% 76	35.76% 54	7.28% 11	3.97% 6	2.65% 4	151
The charge is understood by the members	57.62% 87	31.13% 47	7.28% 11	3.97% 6	0.00% 0	151
Members work toward fulfilling the charge	58.55% 89	32.24% 49	7.89% 12	1.32% 2	0.00% 0	152
The work of this governance entity has made an impact on its assigned EMP Goals or KPIs	52.63% 80	32.24% 49	7.24% 11	1.32% 2	6.58% 10	152
The purpose of the governance entity aligns well with the college mission	68.00% 102	25.33% 38	5.33% 8	0.00% 0	1.33% 2	150
Overall I am satisfied with this governance entity's performance	65.33% 98	25.33% 38	7.33% 11	2.00% 3	0.00% 0	150

### Q3 Is there something that you would recommend to help the committee function more effectively?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 123

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	My major concern with AC is that it feels like a committee/counsel without a charge. It is not clear what we do, or what we should do. I think it's clearly important that there be an academic voice in college decision making, but how is this committee significantly different from Senate or other academically-focused governing bodies on campus? Over the next semester or year, I think a major focus of AC should be determining what roles it can fulfill and how it can MEANINGFULLY contribute to campus governance.	4/28/2022 1:22 PM
2	Really identifying our role in the whole governance structure and process, it is still very confusing.	4/28/2022 1:22 PM
3	Once a month for one hour is not enough time for this group to do any meaningful work. There have been no clear objectives communicated about what the goal of this group is. I propose that the shared governance groups set aside more time for meetings, as well as stagger the weeks of the month that each group meets. Meeting all at the same time does not allow participation in other groups that discuss topics relevant to my department's work.	4/28/2022 9:20 AM
4	There is a disconnect between the committee goals and how they are used by the college.	4/27/2022 1:49 PM
5	It seems like there are times where we focus on the "rules" (Robert's Rules of Order) more than the content/charge. I recognize that having some type of formalized procedure process is important, and we are "getting to the meat" on many topics/issues. However, there have been a handful of times the conversation devolved into, "is this the right process/are we on the correct agenda item, does someone need to motion before we can discuss that?" I, again, appreciate the formal process, and maybe and just not a huge fan of Robert's Rules. Also, I do believe there are some areas where we need more training, before addressing a particular agenda item. But we have recognized the need for additional training and will be providing/developing these trainings.	4/27/2022 1:38 PM
6	Meetings need to be longer to allow for thorough discussions and promote active participation by all members.	4/26/2022 4:53 PM
7	While materials are provided far in advance, there is rarely sufficient time to explore all the questions. Decisions feel rushed. Additionally, it is unclear what authority the committee has, the votes are rubber stamps, the agenda items are primarily reports. I would like to see the Resource Council actually speak into the decisions made on campus regarding resources.	4/21/2022 11:04 PM
8	Lack of meaningful training on the steps/process in the items evaluated by the committee.	4/21/2022 7:53 PM
9	Star and Dan are excellent Co-Chairs of TLC, and they'll be missed next year.	4/20/2022 4:53 PM
10	I still struggle with TLC and FPDC being two separate Senate committees. I know that the topic has been touched upon many times in the past and hesitate to bring it up once again. However, since PDC is now FPDC (faculty only focussed), I would like to discuss (once again) if TLC fits better as a subcommittee of FPDC.	4/20/2022 4:00 PM
11	Dr. Burnett does a fantastic job with the DE Committee. Kudos to her for her many contributions and professional leadership!	4/20/2022 3:14 PM
12	This is a great committee of dedicated professionals dedicated to investigating and implementing online success for students who choose the format.	4/20/2022 2:57 PM
13	I am grateful to Star and Dan for leading us the past several years. They have done an excellent job!	4/20/2022 1:22 PM
14	Dan Reade and Star Justice should rule, sorry, serve as Co-Chairs FOREVER....	4/20/2022 11:11 AM
15	Discussion of whether or not the Marketing Committee is actually a committee or should	4/19/2022 4:00 PM



## Councils and Committees Survey of Effectiveness

remain a committee took up the majority of our meeting time last semester. Staying focused on making an impact on assigned goals will help the committee be more effective this semester.

16	To form effective subcommittees that meet to support the meetings.	4/5/2022 2:16 PM
17	I have enjoyed serving on the committee and I look forward to being even more involved as I learn	4/5/2022 8:54 AM
18	N/A	3/28/2022 8:04 AM
19	This body has changed dramatically from its previous iteration as ISPC. It sometimes feels as though the committee exists to simply rubber stamp initiatives and projects from the other councils and committees. The purpose feels a bit more perfunctory or informational. I am not sure how to participate in this council in a meaningful way and I am unclear how our governance structure would change if this council did not exist. That said, it could be a case of giving the new structure time to grow and settle. We're all very new to these structures and processes.	3/25/2022 10:50 AM
20	The committee is functioning very well. Thank you for your leadership, Ashlee and Greg.	3/25/2022 10:45 AM
21	This committee is working fantastically under new leadership. An ongoing issue from the past several years is the need to begin working on the next program review process immediately after the previous one is completed. Our time and ability to make those changes is slipping away more quickly than we realize. We want to make sure units have adequate time work with the form/process and make the process meaningful for their needs.	3/25/2022 10:44 AM
22	We should be given the ability to meet with the other entities that decide which computers are refreshed in a more timely manner and what software and new technology get purchased. As a governance entity, we represent faculty, managers, classified professionals, and students, and we want to ensure that our recommendations are not ignored.	3/23/2022 4:52 PM
23	Clarify the long-term direction and purview of the group. Prioritize activities and agenda items to maximize group effectiveness. Allow more time to consider actions and obtain constituent feedback before voting on strategic changes. Send a call for agenda items to members. Include a standing update on status and progress towards goals and deliverables at meetings. Develop a timeline including milestones. Include more details on actions and voting items sent for consideration in preparation of meetings.	3/22/2022 11:05 PM
24	Sometimes more clarity and explanation can go a long way for those who aren't as familiar with the formality of councils. People tend to stay quiet when they aren't sure about the structure and correct way to address something.	3/22/2022 1:20 PM
25	Workgroups were slow to get moving this year. Needs to be a stronger commitment from members to advance our work outside of meetings.	3/22/2022 8:27 AM
26	I appreciate this committee and the members dedication to supporting students via the library and learning resource center.	3/21/2022 8:43 AM
27	Excellent Committee!	3/17/2022 8:53 PM
28	This is a great committee to be a part of.	3/17/2022 2:17 PM
29	Virgil is an amazing Academic Senate President!	3/17/2022 10:22 AM