



**Program Review Committee  
Minutes for September 28, 2023**

2:30- 3:45 pm  
Operations Center (OC) 116

**Meeting Participants**

**Committee Members Present**

Greg Aycock (co-chair), Svetlana Borissova, Caitlin Busso, Rosalio Cedillo, Araceli Covarrubias, Ashlee Johnson, Starlene Justice, Timothy Mount, Lindsay Owens, Tim Russell (co-chair), Kaneesha Tarrant, and Dana White.

**Committee Members Not Present**

Quinton Bemiller, Joseph DeGuzman, and Paul VanHulle.

**Recorder**

Charise Allingham

**1. Call to Order**

- 2:30 pm

**1.1 Welcome**

Welcome new members:

- Rosalio Cedillo, School of Social and Behavior Sciences
- Dana White, School of Business and Management

**2. Action Items**

**2.1 Approval of Agenda**

- MSC (Starlene Justice / Lindsay Owens)

**2.1 Conclusion**

- Approved by consensus.

**2.2 Approval of May 25, 2023, Minutes**

- MSC (Starlene Justice / Svetlana Borissova)

**2.2 Conclusion**

- Approved by consensus.

**3. Discussion Item**

**3.1 Committee Membership**

Discussion about current membership and aligning with the new schools' structure.  
Current membership language in the charter:

- Faculty Committee Members – At least 1 faculty member from each department and/or school. One of the faculty should represent CTE programs and one faculty should be a counselor.

Schools and areas we need representation for:

- School of Visual & Performing Arts
- School of Human & Public Services
- Counseling
- ASNC student representative
- Concern that schools with few full-time faculty will find it difficult to attend and represent.

### 3.2 Criteria for New Program Review Units

Discussion on how to assess if a group/ program needs a program review unit or if they should submit within an existing unit.

- If someone really wants to do a program review are we going to say no?

Who should complete a program review:

- Academic Disciplines
- Administrative Units
- Students Services Units
- Units who have engaged in outcomes assessment

Who can do a program review if requested:

- Units who do not have a route to resource allocation
- Units who have a direct connection to EMP Objectives and need to document assessment of progress- clear connection for a unit (can also be done through Administrative PR)

How a program review unit is defined

- Discipline Unit – assesses SLOs and achievement data at a course level (group of courses = unit). Primarily instructional units do this type
- Program Unit – assesses SAOs/QSOs and achievement data are at student level (group of students = unit). Primarily student services do this type.
- Does every discipline need to do a program review, or can they combine with another?
  - Some disciplines have merged to do one program review when it has made sense to do so.
  - Do new disciplines need to have a program review unit of their own or can they be combined with an existing unit?
    - For example; Data Science doesn't have a full-time faculty but is it its own stand-alone program? Who would do the program review?
- Instructional program reviews are completed by discipline. Is there a need to do a program review by school?

Should committees have a program review unit?

Things to consider:

- Immediate needs would not be fulfilled by a program review.

- An existing mechanism for resources is to submit resource requests through the administrative co-chairs program review unit.

### **3.3 Review Program Review Process**

Program Review Process needs to be reviewed. The Program Review Process will be emailed to the committee for review and suggested updates.

### **3.4 Program Review Charter**

Current status of charter:

- Report of effectiveness will be submitted to Academic Senate. Academic Senate will review and provide recommendations.

Discussion on the length of the charter; suggestion that the charter should reflect the length of the strategic plan with an annual review.

- During the annual review, the annual report of effectiveness and deliverables should be considered.

Suggested Accreditation Standards guiding the Program Review Committee:

- 1.4. The institution's mission directs resource allocation, innovation, and continuous quality improvement through ongoing systematic planning and evaluation of programs and services.
- 1.5. The institution regularly communicates progress toward achieving its mission and goals with internal and external stakeholders in order to promote understanding of institutional strengths, priorities, and areas for continued improvement.
- 3.5 The institution's mission and goals are the foundation for financial planning. Financial information is disseminated to support effective planning and decision-making and provide opportunities for stakeholders to participate in the development of plans and budgets.
  - Is the Program Review committee guided by 3.5?
    - We are part of the resource allocation process that disseminates the information that influences planning.
- The charter will be sent out to the committee for feedback.

### **3.5 Program Review workshops/ drop-in hours**

Request for ideas on how to provide workshops and drop-in hours.

- Suggestion for workshops to have specific themes.
- Workshop topic ideas:
  - What do you need for program review?
  - Where to start?
  - Resource Requests
  - Program review for beginners.
  - Etc.
- Provide some information on what can be discussed during the drop-in hours (office hours).
- Request to stagger times of drop-in hours and workshops to encourage more participation.
  - Suggestion for committee members to assist with workshops and drop-in hours.
- Request for Co-chairs to present at First Fridays.
  - Attend the 'First Fridays' for new faculty in November.

#### **4. Information Items**

Motion to extend the meeting by 5 minutes.

- MSC (Ashlee Johnson/Starlene Justice)-approved

##### **4.1 Nuventive 2024-2027 Platform Introduction**

Provided a quick overview of the updated forms and structure in Nuventive.

##### **4.2 Program Review Open Mid-October – March 22nd**

#### **5. Good of the Order**

#### **6. Future Agenda Topics**

- Program Review Rubric
- Program Review Follow-up and Discussions
- Guided Pathways questions for Program Review

#### **7. Adjournment**

- 3:51 pm

#### **Next Meeting**

Date: October 26, 2023